

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/756,945	01/13/2004	Sergio Landau	BJT 334A	3294	
23581 7	11/01/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.			HUH, BENJAMIN		
200 PACIFIC I	BUILDING HILL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		3767		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/756,945	LANDAU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Benjamin Huh	3767	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin Huh	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in committee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the committee of t	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	706.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ktension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da). pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, filed within two mont	ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, hs of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to d within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ie appeai. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 5-7, 12, 17-20. Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,8-11 and 13-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ovided below or appended.		explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will n	ot be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(alls to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:	. (P10/56/06) Paper NO(S)					
KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						

Theren C. kinners

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment to the claims requires further search and consideration due to changes in scope.